

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,457	11/22/2005	Masahide Hayama	4777-64	2663
29540 DAY PITNEY	7590 01/31/2007		EXAMINER	
7 TIMES SQU	JARE		PHAM, TUAN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-7311			
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	PHTMC	01/31/2007	PAP	FR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	1.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/537,457	HAYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·		TUAN A. PHAM	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this c			vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	•••		•	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of	THE MAILING DAT provisions of 37 CFR 1.1366 this communication. aximum statutory period will do for reply will, by statute, ca e months after the mailing da	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become A	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 20 Nov	<u>rember 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)∏ This a	ction is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co	ndition for allowand	e except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the	e practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending i	n the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	* *	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-2, 3/1, 3/2, and 4</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>5-6</u> is/are objected t	to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to	o restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to	to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	•	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i	ncluding the correction	n is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Noi		•		
1. Certified copies of the	priority documents I	nave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	priority documents I	have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified	copies of the priority	y documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the In				
* See the attached detailed Office	ce action for a list of	the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	2i (DTC 040)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC 			Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/537,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. <u>Claims 1-2, 3/1, 3/2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art, hereinafter, "APA" in view of Kawashima et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 4,963,887, hereinafter, "Kawashima").</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/537,457

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 1, APA teaches an interrogator comprising (see figure 2): a carrier oscillator connected to a transmitting mixer (see figure 2, carrier oscillator 201, mixer 203),

a receiver unit (see figure 2, receiving unit 207), and

an receiving high-frequency amplifier for amplifying the receiving high-frequency received by said receiver unit (see figure 2, receiving high-frequency amplifier 208).

It should be noticed that APA fails to teach carrier generated by the carrier oscillator is interference-inputted by means of spatial propagation to the receiving high-frequency amplifier, thereby modulating the receiving high-frequency. However, Kawashima teaches carrier generated by the carrier oscillator (see figure 2, a LO 31) is interference-inputted by means of spatial propagation to the receiving high-frequency amplifier (see figure 2, antenna 10 is receiving the frequency 2f of a frequency f), thereby modulating the receiving high-frequency (col.4, In.44-68).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kawashima into view of APA in order to provide a full duplex communication as suggested by Kawashima at col.3, ln.30-35.

Regarding claim 2, Kawashima further teaches said receiving high-frequency amplifier, said carrier oscillator, and said transmitting mixer are provided on an identical printed-circuit board, and said carrier oscillator is arranged between said receiving high-frequency amplifier and said transmitting mixer (see figure 2, LO 31, receiving amplifier 12, it inherent that the modulator is included mixer).

Regarding claim 3/1, Kawashima further teaches said carrier oscillator and said receiving high-frequency amplifier are arranged in an identical shield section (see figure 2, LO 31, receiving amplifier 12).

Regarding claim 3/2, Kawashima further teaches said carrier oscillator and said receiving high-frequency amplifier are arranged in an identical shield section (see figure 2, LO 31, receiving amplifier 12).

Regarding claim 4, Kawashima further teaches the interference-input from said carrier oscillator to said receiving high-frequency amplifier is executed by loose-coupling an antenna of the transmitter unit and an antenna of said receiver unit (see figure 2, coupler between antenna 10 and filer 11).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,457

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618 January 29, 2007

Examiner

Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2600

Page 6

Matthew Anderson